INTRODUCTION

Specific learning disability (SLD) is a developmental disorder, manifesting as difficulty in reading, writing, comprehending or using language, calculations, wherein the child has normal intelligence and conventional schooling, adequate motivation and opportunity, and intact hearing and visual capacity. The child may also have ineffective information processing, thereby affecting his prioritizing and organizing abilities.

About 5-15% of school-going children have this disability. Dyslexia is the most common and most studied one, affecting 80% of all those identified as...
learning-disabled. There are significant gender differences: boys are more often affected in developmental dyslexia than girls (4:1). However, in developmental dyscalculia and language difficulties, there are no noticeable differences. Considering Indian scenario, information about SLD is sparse. The incidence of dyslexia in Indian primary school children has been reported to be 2-18%, dysgraphia 14%, and dyscalculia 5.5%. However, its awareness as a significant cause of academic underachievement has recently increased.

Various factors are implicated in understanding SLD. Social variables like socioeconomic status and maternal education have links with school performance. Genetic basis of dyslexia has also been identified. Various perinatal factors, like low birth weight (LBW) and prenatal stress can indirectly affect attention, leading to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), a common co-morbidity with SLD.

Co-morbidity in SLD is more of a rule than an exception. Dyslexia is common in ADHD and conduct disorders. Around 40 percent of children with ADHD also meet diagnostic criteria for dyslexia, and major link appears to be the inattention dimension. SLD is widely associated with affective disorders, particularly depression, deficits in social skills, self-esteem; peer relationship problems, feelings of lack of control and poor self-esteem. Common behavioral signs of learning disabilities fall within two categories, internalizing and externalizing. Students who internalize show behaviors that mostly affect themselves and are sometimes overlooked by others. Students with externalizing behaviors have a more obvious effect on those around them and are usually recognized earlier as having problems. Both these groups are at-risk for being seen as being problems rather than having problems.

Karande et al found that about 40 percent of the sample of Indian children with SLD had developed aggressive or withdrawn behavior, for which, identified reason was lack of awareness about the disorder. Their referral is often made for reasons other than academic, and that too after a substantial delay in identification of the symptoms.

The increasing focus on child mental health in developing countries like India points to the importance of epidemiological research in developing training, service and research paradigms. As there is need of more identifiable data, we planned to study the overall pattern of risk factors, co-morbidities and referral patterns of children with SLD. Also, high co-occurrence of ADHD, led us comparing certain parameters between those having SLD with and without ADHD.

METHODS

The study was conducted in the Learning Disability Clinic of a tertiary care hospital. The children who were seen in this clinic were assessed by two psychiatrists for the learning problems, as well as for any other co-morbidities - physical or psychiatric. Parents and the child, both were interviewed. Children were screened for hearing and visual impairments. Thereafter, they were seen by a faculty from Developmental Pediatrics for any neurological issues, which can play a role in child’s scholastic performance. Trained and certified psychologists evaluated for learning difficulties, using batteries like Woodcock Johnson. In particular cases, occupational therapy assessment was also performed to look for hyperactivity, incoordination or sensory abnormalities. A child diagnosed with any comorbid psychiatric illness was first treated for the same, and then evaluated after being stabilized. Finally the diagnosis would be made with consensus of the mentioned professionals of the team. All the assessments are compiled and a meticulous yearly record of all these has been maintained right after the establishment of this LD clinic.

In our study, children diagnosed having SLD in past 5 years, were included, total being 2015. A semi-structured proforma was constructed (based on the information in the records of the LD clinic) which consisted of demographic details, perinatal events, referral pattern and co-morbidities of included children frequency computation was done.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows demographic details of the sample. Out of the total studied 2015 case records, 73.35% were males; male to female ratio being 2.75:1. Mean age was 12.9 years and 87.99% were right handed. 67.14% were from state board schools and had English as their medium for instructions (94.19%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX N (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1478 (73.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>537 (26.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handedness n (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1773 (87.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>199 (9.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>43 (2.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium of instruction n (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>1898 (94.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathi</td>
<td>87 (4.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>26 (1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of school drop - outs (2.13%) and also of those who had changed their schools for various academic reasons was quite low. Considering the diagnosis, 87.94% had all three disabilities-dyslexia, dysgraphia and dyscalculia. Dyslexia with Dysgraphia
was the next most common diagnosis (9.73%). Mean verbal Intelligence quotient (IQ) was 99.57 and performance IQ 106.95. 45.11% children had discrepancy of more than 15 points in verbal and performance quotient and 16.28% children had language barrier. 6.05% had siblings having academic difficulties and siblings of 3.77% children were diagnosed with SLD.

**Risk factor profile**

There were 25 adopted children in this study, for whom antenatal or birth related details were not available. Considering the perinatal factors of the rest, psychological stress to mother was present during pregnancy in 10.17% of the study group, as elicited on history. Delivery of the child was normal in 72.04% and the rest were Caesarean section or assisted. 9.48% of the mothers of these children suffered from antenatal complications, commonest being pregnancy-induced hypertension (3.17%), followed by fever, bleeding per vagina and gestational diabetes mellitus. Pregnancy was preterm in 6.5%, post term in 1.84% and term in the rest. 14.54% children had post-natal complications, the commonest being jaundice in 10.14%, followed by hypoxia and seizures. It was found that 4.81% of the children did not cry immediately after birth and 11.11% had low birth weight (LBW). Speech milestones were delayed in 15.38%; 65% had delayed motor development and 6.94% children had speech deficits like stammering. Table 2 shows certain visuo-spatial and fine motor deficits in these children.

**Table 2: Some associated deficits in the children having SLD.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ability</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine motor issues</td>
<td>346 (17.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right left confusion</td>
<td>100 (4.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in telling time seeing the clock</td>
<td>754 (37.41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Referral pattern**

According to the parents’ report, symptoms were noticed initially during 8-12 years of age in around 46.74% of the children and thereafter in the 4-8 year age group (36.03%); and majority (63.87%) of them were related to academic issues. However, still a large number were identified due to other behavior or inattention related problems. Most of them were referred by teachers (46.38%) or parents (32.22%) or both (18.11). 9.8% of them also reported issues with peer groups. The referral gap between onset of symptoms and referral is shown in Figure 1.

**Co-morbidities**

Out of 2015 studied cases, 38.56% (i.e.777) had co-existing ADHD. Further associated conditions were nocturnal enuresis, anxiety, and others, as shown in Figure 2.
with co-morbid ADHD; whereas those without ADHD had higher co-morbid anxiety disorder (Figure 3).
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**Figure 3: Co-morbidities in children having SLD with and without ADHD (IN %).**

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, male to female ratio was 2.75:1. Earlier studies have found SLD occurring more in boys, but recent ones found no difference. Higher number of males has been considered to be the result of referral bias by various authors. Handedness was nearly similar to what exists in general population.

2.13% of them were school dropouts. Zigmund et al in his study found 50% of the youth with learning disabilities (in comparison to 33% of their peer group) had left school before graduation. 30.3% of the students in our study had changed their schools at least once because of not being able to cope or failing in class, as stated by other studies as well. Mean IQ here, was nearly similar to previous studies, including those in Indian children.

Majority students (87.94%) in our study had all three disabilities- dyslexia, dysgraphia and dyscalculia. Karande et al found 58% children had the three diagnoses with co-occurring ADHD. In our study, 16.28% also had associated language barrier. In India, most of the children are bilingual and first generation English learners; hence possibility of language barrier is very strong, as in any other country where second language is being taken up.

In present study, 6.05% children had siblings with some academic issues and 3.77% had diagnosed SLD. Family studies indicate that around 50% of siblings of child with learning disability may have this disorder.

Considering the various probable risk factors, Talge et al found children with mothers having antenatal stress more likely to have emotional or cognitive problems, including an increased risk of attention deficit or hyperactivity, anxiety, and language delay; in relation to the HPA axis activity of the two. Previous research also shows link between LBW and SLD or cognition. 4.24-25 4.81% of children had delayed cry in our study, which may not necessarily reflect a hypoxic insult but studies indicate that perinatal issues primarily affect growth and cognition. Regarding development, Darya et al found that delayed gross motor development and early evidence of speech problems predict risk of reading impairment. The problems with reading, speech, and motor domains could have a common biological substrate operating through the cerebellum. Poorer reading ability is earlier found associated with lower language and cognitive scores.

On analyzing referral pattern of the children, mean age was found to be 12.9 years, which appears quite late when onset of the disorder per se is viewed. Earlier studies have found varied results. In our study, the symptoms in most cases were noticed between 8-12 years of age, related to academic issues, and were referred by teachers or parents. This might be because of inclusion of the subject of SLD in the modified B. Ed (Bachelor of Education) curriculum. However, various reasons have been quoted for such referrals by other authors, including academic, behavioural, non academic, even medical ones or a combination of these. Majority students were referred for either academic or both academic and behavioural reasons. We noticed a significant delay in referral in many children, which shows lack of awareness about the disorder among parents, inadequately trained teachers for identifying and referring the child for assessment, waiting to the extent until the situation become unmanageable, lack of knowledge in health professionals and inadequate resources.

Children with SLD are known to have peer issues. They develop behavioural problems such as aggressive and withdrawn behaviours and inadequate communications because of lack of self-esteem and frustration due to poor scholastic performance. According to Cheryl et al, these children had fewer peers for support, while dealing with an academic stressor or an interpersonal problem.

Children with SLD are at increased risk for other psychiatric disorder, seen in both epidemiological and referred samples. In particular ADHD, inattention type and conduct Disorder (CD) are found related to reading difficulties. The degree of overlap between ADHD and dyslexia has been reported to be 35%. SLD is highly related to internalizing symptoms, like depression and anxiety. In this study, 19.25% of the children with SLD had anxiety and 9.28% had depression. According to Julia et al, in a similar group, boys were found having depressive symptoms, however girls were not; although anxiety mostly separation and generalized anxiety was closely associated with SLD. However, another study found contradictory results. The reason for such symptoms could be lack of sense of self-efficacy and motivation for homework, using learned helplessness and difficulties in social integration.
Enuresis was found in 31.81% of our sample, much higher than its prevalence in normal population, i.e. 3% in girls and 7% in boys. This is suggested to be related to deficits in the maturation of the central nervous system.4.

During the course of the study, we found two groups emerging: SLD with and without ADHD. Comparing a few variables, we found that male to female ratio was 4.39:1 and 2.14:1 in the two groups respectively. Studies also suggest that the relation between ADHD and SLD is stronger for males than for females.33,36 No considerable difference was found in handedness and IQ of the two groups, which is against the findings of Jepsen et al that there is modest association between IQ and ADHD, with the mean influence on IQ probably amounting to two to five IQ points.37 This was probably because the children who came for assessment of SLD in our hospital, if found to have ADHD, were initially treated for the same, and only after symptomatic control, were taken up for further SLD evaluation, including IQ, which suggests that improvement in ADHD symptoms can probably lead to better performance. Considering the development, delay in speech was more in children with co-morbid ADHD. Also, this group had higher fine motor issues, which is in accordance with the disorder of ADHD. Comparing co-morbidities, anxiety was found more in the SLD without ADHD children; and ODD, CD and enuresis more with co-existing ADHD, as stated by Willcutt as well, suggesting that significant relation between SLD and CD is mediated by co-morbid ADHD.36

CONCLUSION

We found that majority of the children belonged to 8-12 years age group, were referred by teachers, mostly for academic issues and had all three disabilities-dyslexia, dysgraphia and dyscalculia. However, sizeable delay was seen between the onset of symptoms and seeking medical help. Antenatal complications, birth weight and maternal stress did not seem to stand out in these cases. Speech delay, fine motor issues and visuo-spatial deficits were found in a considerable number; more in the children having co-morbid ADHD. ADHD, Enuresis and anxiety were the most commonly found co-morbidities, although anxiety and depression were commonly associated with children having SLD without ADHD, and enuresis and conduct disorder with those having SLD with ADHD. Evaluating the data of 2015 cases, we can infer that improvement in such children would require a comprehensive approach- awareness programs for teachers and parents for early identification and intervention of learning issues and associated problem behavior. Media can play a very significant role in creating a clear and discrete understanding of the disorder in the community. However, this study was retrospective; a prospective and longitudinal research would lead to better results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the team of the LD clinic.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. LDA position statement, adopted by the LDA board of directors September 22, learning disability association of America; 2012.