Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp20200692

Socio-demographic characteristics, breast feeding practices and household sanitation as risk factors for diarrhoeal illness in under five children

Amita U. Surana, Dorothy S. Sengupta, Harshal R. Chauhan, Hetal P. Budh*, Toral Gandhi

Department of Paediatrics, SMIMER Hospital, Surat, Gujarat, India

Received: 12 January 2020 Revised: 23 January 2020 Accepted: 27 January 2020

*Correspondence: Dr. Hetal P. Budh,

E-mail: hpbudh@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute diarrhoeal disease among children under 5 years remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality. In India, diarrhoea attributes to 13% of under-5 mortality. As most diarrhoeal diseases have feco-oral route of transmission, the source of water supply, sanitary measures and personal hygiene are important factors in prevention of same. This study aims to determine various risk factors for diarrhoeal illnesses.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional observational case-control study done among under-5 children hospitalized during rainy months in paediatric ward of tertiary care centre. Information regarding participant's age, sex, immunization status and breast feeding practices collected from the mother or caregiver of the child using a structured questionnaire.

Results: Out of 55 cases and 55 controls enrolled, there was no significant difference in birth weight, gender, immunization status, socio economic profile, hygiene practices and sanitation facility between two groups. Statistically significant difference (p 0.01717) was seen in wasting associated with cases and controls however no difference in proportion of stunting was noted. The cases showed early age of start of complimentary feeding $(5.86 \pm 1.38 \text{m})$ and less duration of total breast feeding $(15.94 \pm 4.09 \text{m})$ as compared to controls. Bottle feeding was seen in 69% cases as compared to 53% controls.

Conclusions: In socio economically and environmentally similar conditions, faulty feeding is a significant risk factor for diarrhoeal illness in under 5 children. Wasting is also a significant risk factor associated with the same.

Keywords: Breast feeding, Diarrhoea, Handwashing, Socio economic risk factors

INTRODUCTION

Diarrhoea is defined by World Health Organization (WHO) as "the passage of three or more loose or liquid stools per day or more frequently than is normal for the individual". Acute diarrhoeal disease among children younger than 5 years old remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality world-wide. The estimated burden of diarrhoea includes 1.7 billion cases with an average of 2.9 episodes/child/year and an estimated 1.87

million deaths among children under 5 years of age.^{2,3} In India, diarrhoea attributes to 13% of under -5 mortality which is approximately around 3, 00,000 deaths per year.^{4,5} As most of the diarrhoeal diseases have fecal - oral route of transmission, the source of water supply, sanitary measures and personal hygiene are important factors in prevention of diarrhoeal disease.⁶ The other important factor in preventing diarrhoeal illnesses is exclusive breast feeding. Human milk glycans including oligosaccharides are part of natural immunological

mechanisms which protects breast fed infants against diarrhoea.⁷

Underlying malnutrition in children is a common modifying risk factor for contracting diarrhoea.⁸ It is imperative to identify the risk factors associated with diarrhoea in a community for effective preventive measure implementation. With this background authors conducted a case control study to assess the various risk factors for diarrhoea among under - 5 children.

METHODS

A descriptive cross sectional observational study was done among under - 5 children who were hospitalized during rainy months in paediatric ward of tertiary care centre.

Cases were children between 0-60 months, admitted with acute diarrhoea during study period. Acute diarrhoea was defined by the passage of three or more loose or watery stool in 24 hour period prior to admission. Controls were children between 0-60 month, admitted with non-diarrhoeal illness during study period and who did not fulfil the diarrhoea case definition during the 2 weeks preceding enrolment to the study.

Data collection

Information regarding participant's age, sex, immunization status and breast feeding practices was collected from the mother or caregiver of the child. Breast feeding history for both cases and control included the duration of exclusive breast feeding, total duration of breast feeding, h/o bottle feeding, h/o partial or mixed breast feeding. Mothers/ caregivers were interviewed regarding their age and education level.

Socioeconomic status was classified according to modified Kuppuswami classification. For statistical analysis upper and upper middle class were grouped as upper SES; lower middle class as middle SES and upper lower and lower class as lower SES. Information on household sanitation included toilet facility, source of water supply for drinking and other purposes, storage facility of drinking water and water for other purposes as well as personal hygiene practices. The primary source of water supply was through piped water or through tankers. The interviews were carried out using structured questionnaire.

Anthropometric measurements were done for the children following standard procedures. Nutritional status was classified as wasting if weight for height 'z' score is less than -2 SD and stunting if height for age 'z' score is less than -2 SD using WHO growth standards.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed by Openepi software version 3.0. Chi square test or Fisher exact test were applied for

qualitative data and student 't' test was applied for quantitative data.

RESULTS

Children's demographic profile showed maximum number in 1-3 year age group (51% Vs 38%). Statistically significant difference was noted in mean age of two groups, children with diarrhoea were younger than control group (18.74±4.82 Vs 19.30±3.56, p value 0.02782).

Table 1: Children's demographic and health characteristic.

Characteristic (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)		- C	0 1	
Age (months) 0-6	O			
Age (months) 0-6 12 (22) 8 (15) 6-12 10 (18) 19(34) 12-36 28 (51) 21 (38) 36-60 5 (9) 7(13) Mean age 18.74± 19.30± (yr)(SD) 4.82 3.56 Sex Male 16 (29) 10 (18) Female 39(71) 45(82) Mean birth 2.406± 2.63± weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score <- 26 (47)	Characteristic			p value
0-6 12 (22) 8 (15) 6-12 10 (18) 19(34) 12-36 28 (51) 21 (38) 36-60 5 (9) 7(13) Mean age 18.74± 19.30± (yr)(SD) 4.82 3.56 Sex Male 16 (29) 10 (18) Female 39(71) 45(82) Mean birth 2.406± 2.63± 0.2564 weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 0.2564 Immunization status Complete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) 0.01717 Vasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 < -2SD) Stunted (height for age Z score < - 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) 0.0942 Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946		N = 55	N=55	
10 (18)				
12-36				
12-36 28 (51) 21 (38) 36-60 5 (9) 7(13) Mean age 18.74± 19.30± (yr)(SD) 4.82 3.56 Sex 3.56 Male 16 (29) 10 (18) Female 39(71) 45(82) Mean birth 2.406± 2.63± weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score < -26 (47)		· · · · ·		0.1773
Mean age (yr)(SD) 18.74± 4.82 19.30± 3.56 0.02782 Sex Male 16 (29) 10 (18) 0.1884 Female 39(71) 45(82) 0.1884 Mean birth weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 0.2564 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) 42 (76) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 < -2SD) Stunted (height for age Z score < 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) 1 (2) 0.03046 Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03046	12-36	28 (51)	21 (38)	0.1773
(yr)(SD) 4.82 3.56 Sex Male 16 (29) 10 (18) Female 39(71) 45(82) Mean birth 2.406± 2.63± 0.2564 weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 0.2564 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score < 39 (62)	36-60	5 (9)	7(13)	
Sex Male 16 (29) 10 (18) 0.1884	Mean age	$18.74 \pm$	19.30±	0.02792
Male 16 (29) 10 (18) Female 39(71) 45(82) Mean birth 2.406± 2.63± weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 <-2SD)	(yr)(SD)	4.82	3.56	0.02782
Female 39(71) 45(82) 0.1884 Mean birth 2.406± 2.63± 0.2564 weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 0.2564 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 <-2SD)	Sex			
Female 39(71) 45(82) Mean birth weight(kg)(SD) 2.406± 0.43 0.2564 Immunization status 0.2564 Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score < -26 (47)	Male	16 (29)	10 (18)	Λ 1004
weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 Immunization status 0.2564 Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score <-29 (28 (51))	Female	39(71)	45(82)	0.1884
weight(kg)(SD) 0.50 0.43 Immunization status Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 <-2SD)	Mean birth	2.406±	2.63±	0.2564
Complete 36 (66) 42 (76) Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score < 39 (62)	weight(kg)(SD)	0.50	0.43	0.2364
Incomplete 16 (29) 12 (22) 0.3551 Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score < 39 (62)	Immunization status	3		
Unimmunized 3 (5) 1 (2) Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score < - 29 (62)	Complete	36 (66)	42 (76)	
Nutritional status Wasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 < -2SD) Stunted (height for age Z score < - 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	Incomplete	16 (29)	12 (22)	0.3551
Wasted (weight for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 < -2SD) Stunted (height for age Z score < - 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) 28 (47) 21 (20) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) 1 (20)	Unimmunized	3 (5)	1 (2)	
for height Z score 39 (62) 28 (51) 0.01717 <-2SD) Stunted (height for age Z score < - 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	Nutritional status			
< -2SD) Stunted (height for age Z score < - 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) Partial BF 33 (60) 26 (47) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	Wasted (weight			
Stunted (height for age Z score < - 26 (47)	for height Z score	39 (62)	28 (51)	0.01717
for age Z score < - 26 (47) 20 (36) 0.1274 2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) Partial BF 33 (60) 26 (47) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	<-2SD)			
2 SD) Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) Partial BF 33 (60) 26 (47) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	Stunted (height			
Breast feeding status Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) Partial BF 33 (60) 26 (47) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	for age Z score < -	26 (47)	20 (36)	0.1274
Exclusive BF 21 (38) 28 (51) Partial BF 33 (60) 26 (47) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	2 SD)			
Partial BF 33 (60) 26 (47) 0.0942 No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	Breast feeding statu	S		
No BF 1 (2) 1 (2) Mean duration of 15.94± 18.03± 0.03946	Exclusive BF	21 (38)	28 (51)	
Mean duration of $15.94\pm 18.03\pm 0.03946$	Partial BF	33 (60)	26 (47)	0.0942
0.03046	No BF	1 (2)	1 (2)	
RE (month)(SD) 4.00 5.43 0.03946	Mean duration of			0.03946
Di (monti)(3D) 4.09 5.43	BF (month)(SD)	4.09	5.43	
Mean age of 5.86±	Mean age of	5 96		
weaning 1.38 6.6 ± 1.78 0.01646	weaning		6.6±1.78	0.01646
(month)(SD)	(month)(SD)	1.38		
Bottle feeding 38 (69) 29 (53) 0.04191	Bottle feeding	38 (69)	29 (53)	0.04191

On nutritional status comparison, a greater number of cases were having wasting than controls (62% Vs 51%, p value 0.01717), however there was no difference in proportion of stunting between two groups. There was no significant difference with regard to birth weight, gender and immunization status between two groups (Table 1).

Breast feeding practices showed exclusive breast feeding rate of 38% among cases and 51% among control group. The case group showed early age of start of complimentary feeding (5.86±1.38) and less duration of total breast feeding (15.94±4.09) as compared to control group. Bottle feeding rate was seen in 69% among case as compared to 53% among control. There was significant statistical association seen with age of introduction of complimentary feed, duration of breast feeding and bottle feeding practice to diarrhoeal cases.

Maximum number of participant's family head had education up to secondary level (44% Vs 58%), mother/caregiver had education up to primary level (71% Vs 58%), monthly family income between 10,000 to 20000 (42% Vs 51%) and middle SES (45% Vs 42%). Mean maternal age of cases was 21.45 years and control were 22.87 years. Socio economic profile comparison between two groups didn't show any statistically significant association between socioeconomic class, family income per month, family head education level, maternal age or maternal/caregiver education level with diarrhoeal cases (Table 2).

Table 2: Socio Economic condition.

Characteristic	Case (%) N = 55	Control (%) N=55	p value	
Household head education				
Primary	26 (47)	16 (29)		
Secondary	24 (44)	32 (58)	0.1457	
Higher	5 (9)	7 (13)	•	
Mother's education				
Primary	39 (71)	32 (58)		
Secondary	15 (27)	19 (34)	0.2276	
Higher	1 (2)	4 (7)	•	
Family income per month				
>20000	9 (16)	13 (25)		
10000 - 20000	23 (42)	28 (51)	0.1821	
<10000	23 (42)	14 (25)	.	
Mother's age (year)				
<20	13 (24)	12 (22)		
20 - 29	38 (69)	34 (62)	0.3879	
30 - 39	4 (7)	9 (16)		
Mean age	21.45±3.125	22.87±2.642	0.2205	
Socio economic class				
Upper	16 (29)	11 (20)		
Middle	25 (45)	23 (42)	0.2998	
Lower	14 (25)	21 (38)		

Among 56% of the mother/caregiver reported hand washing every time before handling food, while around 36% and 42% mother reported of occasional hand washing practices before handling food in both groups respectively. In response to hand washing after defecation, 91% and 80% said it to be done with water only in both groups respectively. Only 9% mother of cases and 20% mother of control were using soap for hand washing after defecation. There was no statistically

significant difference noted with regard to hygienic practices between two groups (Table 3).

Table 3: Hygiene and sanitary condition.

Characteristic	Case (%) N = 55	Control (%) N=55	p value		
Hand washing before handling/eating food					
Always	31 (56)	31 (56)			
Occasional	20 (36)	23 (42)	0.3662		
Never	4 (7)	1 (2)			
Hand washing after defecation					
Yes with soap	5 (9)	11 (20)	0.05772		
Yes with water	50 (91)	44 (80)			
Defecation facility					
In-house toilet facility	54 (98)	53 (96)			
Public toilet	1 (2)	2 (4)	>0.99		
Open defecation	0	0			
Drinking water source					
Piped water supply	25 (45)	31 (56)	0.1305		
Water storage facility					
Clean covered	31 (56)	34 (62)			
Unclean uncovered	24 (2)	21 (2)	0.2841		

Sanitary measure characteristics included source of water supply, water storage facility and defecation practices. 45% household among cases and 56% household among control group had piped water supply which was statistically not significant. 56% among cases and 62% among control reported clean, covered water storage practice. No significant difference seen regarding water storage facility between two groups. 97% household had in house toilet facility and 3% had access to public toilet. No participant reported open air defecation practice. There was no statistically significant difference between two groups noted about defecation facility.

DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted to assess the risk factors like socio demographic condition, household sanitary condition and child feeding practices associated with diarrhoeal illness.

The result of our study showed mean age of children with diarrhoeal illness was 18.74±4.82 year which was younger than control group. Similar finding suggesting higher burden of diarrhoea in young children compared to older children has reported by different studies. 9-11 Authors also found more children with wasting among cases as compared to controls. It is a known fact that malnutrition predisposes to infection because of its negative impact on barrier protective effect of skin and mucus membrane and by alteration in host immune function. Ferdous and others found that malnourished

children were more likely to present with gastrointestinal infections and have increased diarrhoea disease severity irrespective of malnutrition being acute or chronic.¹²

This hospital is a tertiary care centre catering to people residing in an urban slum area. As this study was done in a hospitalized child who comes from similar living condition and so authors didn't found any difference in socio economic condition between two groups.

With regard to source of water supply and water storage practices no difference was found between two groups. Though diarrhoea is considered water borne disease, D Kattula et al found less incidence of diarrhoea in rural population than urban population despite widespread water contamination in both areas and they suggested that water may not be the primary mode of transmission in an endemic setting. Though authors have not found any association of hand washing methods (with soap or with only water) with diarrhoeal illness, the importance of using soap for hand washing to get rid of microbial contamination had been consistently demonstrated in various studies and so it can't be overlooked. 2,13

There was significant association found between length of breast-feeding duration, age of introduction of complimentary feeding and bottle-feeding practices with diarrhoeal illness. Even though there was no difference in proportion of children who had received exclusive breast feeding between two groups, early introduction of complimentary feed exposes the children to a contaminated environment at a younger age thus negating the protective effect of breast milk and make them vulnerable to diarrhoeal illness. The bottle feeding adds to the risk due to more chances of contamination. 9.14,15

CONCLUSION

In socio economically and environmentally similar conditions, faulty feeding practices are the significant risk factor for diarrheal illness in under 5 children.

Limitations of this study was that it was conducted in hospitalized children during rainy season. So, the results of this study are limited to the area catered by hospital and generalization of the study results may not be possible. Also, the seasonal differences in occurrence of diarrhoea have not been considered.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. WHO. Diarrheal disease, 2013. Available at http://www.who.int./mediacentre/factsheets/fs330/e n/index.html. Accessed 15 April 2016.

- 2. Mohammed AL, Zungu L. Environmental health factors associated with diarrheal diseases among under five children in the Sebeta town of Ethiopia. Southern African J of Inf Dis 2016;31(4):122 -9.
- 3. Brown J, Cairncross S, Ensink JHJ. Water, sanitation, hygiene and enteric infections in children. Arch dis Child. 2013;98:629-34.
- Biswas A, Mandal AK. A study on association between breastfeeding and its protective role against diarrhoea in under five children in a rural block of West Bengal, India. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2016 Sep;3(9):2499-503.
- Basani DG, Kumar R, Awasthi S, Morris SK, Paul VK. Million death study collaborators. Causes of neonatal and child mortality in India: A nationally representative mortality survey. Lancet. 2010;376:1853-60.
- 6. Environmental health project. The hygiene improvement framework: a comprehensive approach for preventing childhood diarrhea. Washington, DC: USAID Environmental health project (EHP):2004;1-29.
- 7. Morrow AL, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Jiang X, Newberg DS. Human milk glycans that inhibit pathogen binding protect breast feeding infants against infectious diarrhea. J Nutr. 2005; 135:1304-07.
- 8. Gascon A, Vargas M, Schellenberg D, Urassa H, Casals C, Kahigwa E, et al. Diarrhea in children under 5 years of age from Ifkara, Tanzania: a case control study. J Clin Microbiol. Dec 2000;38(12):4459-62.
- Kattula D, Francis MR, Kulinkina A, Sarkar R, Mohan VR, Babji S, et al. Environmental predictors of diarrhoeal infection for rural and urban communities in south India in children and adults. Epidemiol Infect. 2015 Oct;143(14):3036-47.
- 10. Gladstone BP, Das AR, Rehman AM, Jaffar S, Estes MK, Muliyil J, et al. Burden of illness in the first 3 years of life in an Indian slum. J Trop Pediatr. 2010 Aug 1;56(4):221-6.
- 11. Feikin DR, Audi A, Olack B, Bigogo GM, Polyak C, Burke H, et al. Evaluation of the optimal recall period for disease symptoms in home based morbidity surveillance in rural and urban Kenya. Intern J Epidemiol. 2010;39:450-8.
- 12. Ferdous F, Sumon K, Shahnawaz A, Fahmida D, Jonathan R, Mohmmad J, et al. Severity of diarrhea and malnutrition among under five year old children in rural Bangladesh. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013;89 (2):223-8.
- 13. Saade C, Bateman M, Bendahmane DB. The story of a successful public private partnership in central America: hand washing for diarrhoeal disease prevention. BASICS, the Environmental Health Project, the United Nations Children's Fund, the United States Agency for international Development and The World Bank; 2001.
- 14. Gladstone BP, Muliyil JP, Jaffar S, Wheeler JG, Le Fevre A, Iturriza-Gomara M, et al. Infant morbidity

- in an Indian slum birth cohort. Archives Disease Childhood. 2008 Jun 1;93(6):479-84.
- 15. Sarkar R, Sivarathinaswamy P, Thangaraj B, Sindhu KN, Ajjampur SS, Muliyil J, et al. Burden of childhood diseases and malnutrition in a semi-urban slum in southern India. BMC Public Health. 2013 Dec 1;13(1):87.

Cite this article as: Surana AU, Sengupta DS, Chauhan HR, Budh HP, Gandhi T. Sociodemographic characteristics, breast feeding practices and household sanitation as risk factors for diarrhoeal illness in under five children. Int J Contemp Pediatr 2020;7:635-9.